

## REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted supplementary to the previous Amendment.

With the present Supplemental Amendment applicants have added new claims 27, 28 and 29.

Claim 27 substantially corresponds to claim 1, but in addition defines that the at least one gripping part 12 is fixedly held on the housing through the elastic element 14 and through the mounting part, and claim 28 substantially corresponds to claim 27 and additionally defines that the handle is fixedly held on the housing.

The present invention deals with a hand power tool or in other words with a tool which is held by a user. The gripping part in accordance with the present invention is a part of the handle, and when the gripping part of the handle is not fixedly held on the housing of the hand power tool, the hand power tool can not be handled by a user in a safe way. A hand power tool in which the handle is not fixedly held on the housing is inoperative. Therefore, the feature that the gripping part is fixedly held from the housing arises directly from the fact that the present invention deals with a hand power tool, and the handle of the hand power tool must function

correspondingly to be held by a user, so that the user can hold the hand power tool by holding the handle which is fixedly held on the housing.

In the reference applied by the Examiner, namely the patent to Johansson, et al the gripping part represented on the drawing on page 3 of the Office Action is not fixedly held on the housing (through the elastic element and through the mounting part).

It is believed that claims 27 and 28 clearly and patentably distinguish the present invention from the prior art applied by the Examiner.

Claim 29 defines a further important feature of the present invention. In particular in the inventive hand power tool the gripping part 12, the mounting part 16, the elastic vibration damping element 14, and the safety element 20 are all arranged coaxially with an axis of the handle 10, which axis is schematically shown on the enclosed drawing. In contrast, as can be seen from the drawing presented on page 3 of the Office Action, some parts of the handle disclosed in the patent to Johansson extend in a substantially horizontal direction, while other parts extend substantially vertical direction, or in other words the first set of parts extends at an angle relative to the second set of parts, and definitely not coaxially to an axis of the handle.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that claim 29 should also be considered as patentably distinguishing over the art and should also be allowed.

As for the other claims, the Examiner respectfully requested to review the arguments presented in regard to the other claims in the Amendment of August 17, 2007.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance; he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker  
Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27233